

**Warminster Road - Pedestrian Refuge
FORMAL CONSULTATION**

| Name | Date | Comments | Opinion | | Councils' response | Is it a relevant objections? |
|------------|----------|--|---------|---------|---|------------------------------|
| | | | For | Against | | |
| Cllr D M | 28/02/13 | No objections to the scheme | ✓ | | N/A | N/A |
| Cllr R S | 28/02/13 | No objections to the scheme | ✓ | | N/A | N/A |
| M L | 01/03/13 | No objections to the scheme | ✓ | | N/A | N/A |
| N C | 04/03/13 | Objected to the fact that the public have to go to a council office in order to see the proposal plans. Loss of parking will push cars further along the road. | | ✓ | Loss of spaces has been considered against the increase in safety that this scheme will create. Darlington Road will be monitored to see if there is a noticeable increase. | No |
| Mr & Mrs G | 07/03/13 | Objects to moving the parking places, as it would just move the problem closer to their home. Most of the spaces are unrestricted, and some cars are there for days at a time. Also, there will be an impact on parents dropping off children at the local school. | | ✓ | The impact on the wider community will be monitored. There will still be spaces available, so children can still be dropped off near to the school. | No |
| K B | 20/03/13 | As head of St Mary's, parents have told him they are concerned about the loss of the limited waiting spaces when they drop their children off. | | ✓ | There are still a limited number of spaces available to do this. | No |
| J B | 20/03/13 | As a parent of St Mary's with mobility problems, she needs the limited waiting spaces when picking her children up from school, or her 5 and 7 year olds will have to walk along the road to find her. | | ✓ | The spaces will still be available to do this, and the works will provide a safe place to cross if necessary. | No |
| P P | 20/03/13 | Parked cars act as a speed deterrent outside the school, and also some parents' need to drive, and have somewhere to park, as they live too far away to walk. | | ✓ | The increase in safety is provided by the crossing and the increased visibility. Spaces will be available to park near to the school. | No |

Warminster Road - Pedestrian Refuge
FORMAL CONSULTATION

| Name | Date | Comments | Opinion | | Councils' response | Is it a relevant objections? |
|------|----------|--|---------|---------|--|------------------------------|
| | | | For | Against | | |
| L B | 20/03/13 | A pedestrian refuge will not slow down the traffic, and the reduction of cars parked on the road will remove a barrier between pedestrians and the traffic. | | ✓ | A refuge provides a safe place to cross, and will also include pedestrian safety barriers to protect children. | No |
| S P | 20/03/13 | He thinks parents should have been consulted more due to the effect on taking children to school. He also suggested that the school needs better signing to make drivers aware that it is there. | | ✓ | The points raised are not relevant to the current consultation. The consultation has been carried out strictly in line with the current guidelines. | N/A |
| C W | 20/03/13 | Does support the idea, but thinks more can be done. There needs to be enough short stay parking near the school to avoid parents parking illegally, also the restriction could be extended to 3:30, after the school closes. Has also suggested a reduction in speed limit would help. | ✓ | ✓ | The reduction in spaces is necessary to provide safe visibility of the new crossing. A speed limit reduction is not being considered in this scheme. | No |
| C W | 20/03/13 | Increase traffic on Darlington Road will increase the danger to children who walk along that road from the school. Any accidents will be as a direct result of the works. | | ✓ | Only time will tell if this is the case, and in any case, some parking will still be available on the road. | No |
| G T | 20/03/13 | Parking places at the moment are almost non-existent, so this will just increase the problems. | | ✓ | Some parking will still be available. | No |
| J B | 20/03/13 | Parked cars help to reduce the speed of the traffic, and removing them will just move the problem elsewhere. Also, the crossing doesn't seem big enough to do the job. | | ✓ | The refuge has been increased from the first consultation. Parked cars can not be justified as a traffic calming measure. | No |
| L A | 20/03/13 | The parked cars help reduce the speed of the traffic, so why take that away. There needs to be more signage to let drivers know about the school, which would help. | | ✓ | Parked cars can not be justified as a traffic calming measure. Extra signage has been considered for the final layout. | No |

Warminster Road - Pedestrian Refuge
FORMAL CONSULTATION

| Name | Date | Comments | Opinion | | Councils' response | Is it a relevant objections? |
|------|----------|---|---------|---------|--|------------------------------|
| | | | For | Against | | |
| S E | 20/03/13 | The restrictions will hamper the workers in their business, and cause more problems on Darlington Road. The increased traffic could also cause more accidents with the children to and from school. | | ✓ | The effects on Darlington Road can be monitored to see if any problems occur. Child safety will be increased with them using the refuge to cross the road. | No |
| J E | 21/03/13 | The loss of parking will mean that drivers will park in Darlington Road, causing congestion in a narrow cul-de-sac. | | ✓ | This can be monitored to see if there is a problem, and addressed as necessary. | Yes |
| H B | 21/03/13 | The parents of children at the school will go and block up other roads, and concentrate them into one area that will be harder to negotiate. | | ✓ | This can be monitored to see if there is a problem, and addressed as necessary. | No |
| C K | 21/03/13 | Thinks that a zebra/pedestrian crossing would be better, as the current design does nothing to reduce traffic speeds. Unrestricted parking also helps with those drivers not close to a P&R site. | | ✓ | The proposal is to increase safety, not reduce traffic speeds. | No |
| G S | 21/03/13 | The loss of parking will mean that drivers will drive down the school road, creating greater dangers for the children walking to school. | | ✓ | This can be monitored to see if there is a problem, and addressed as necessary. | Yes |
| K G | 21/03/13 | Thinks that the proposal is unnecessary, as there is crossing points further along the road. | | ✓ | Increasing the places to cross safely will give more choice. | No |
| P P | 21/03/13 | Concerned for children's safety walking down the road while using the back entrance to school. Thinks the scheme is badly thought out, and is just using up money at the end of the financial year. | | ✓ | The children would use the entrance anyway, and the scheme does give them a safe way to get to the entrance. | No |

Warminster Road - Pedestrian Refuge
FORMAL CONSULTATION

| Name | Date | Comments | Opinion | | Councils' response | Is it a relevant objections? |
|----------------------------|----------|---|---------|---------|--|------------------------------|
| | | | For | Against | | |
| SECOND CONSULTATION | | | | | | |
| S G | 20/09/13 | Existing parking is already quickly used up, so reducing it further will make the problem worse. | | ✓ | The reduction in spaces is necessary to provide safe visibility of the new crossing. | No |
| POLICE | 23/09/13 | Confirmed that enforcement of the restrictions will be down to the council, but no other comments. | | | Confirm the points made. | N/A |
| M H L | 01/10/13 | His daughter needs to park near to the centre for work, as the buses do not run early enough, but is stopped by the residents parking. Car Parking is too expensive. | | ✓ | Parking will still be available. The other point is not relevant to this consultation. | No |
| D C | 05/10/13 | Removing the parking will force parents to move down to Darlington Road, which is already congested with parents dropping off children for the school and construction traffic. | | ✓ | The effects on Darlington Road can be monitored to see if any problems occur. Child safety will be increased with them using the refuge to cross the road. | No |
| M S | 08/10/13 | There needs to be more parking for parents, not less. Forcing cars to park nearer the entrance will just increase the danger to children walking to the school. | | ✓ | The loss of spaces is necessary to provide the required visibility. | N/A |
| C S | 08/10/13 | Working parents of young children will be forced to park illegally in order to get close enough to the school to safely drop children off. | | ✓ | Parking will still be available to drop off children without parking illegally. | No |
| L A | 08/10/13 | Thinks the proposal is dangerous, and even the inclusion of the barrier will not protect the children from the large vehicles. The road is not wide enough to accommodate the island. | | ✓ | As long as they stay on the pavement, the children should not conflict with the traffic. The island will protect them even further. | No. |

Warminster Road - Pedestrian Refuge
FORMAL CONSULTATION

| Name | Date | Comments | Opinion | | Councils' response | Is it a relevant objections? |
|-----------------|----------|---|---------|---------|---|------------------------------|
| | | | For | Against | | |
| R B (letter) | 09/10/13 | Removing the parking will force parents to move down to Darlington Road, which is already congested with parents dropping off children. | | ✓ | Some parking will be available, and the effects on Darlington Road can be monitored and addressed if necessary. | No |
| J H | 10/10/13 | This will reduce the legal parking available to working parents dropping children for school, putting everyone at risk. | | ✓ | Parking will be reduced, but is still available. If they need to cross the road, the island will reduce the dangers. | No |
| L G | 10/10/13 | This will reduce the legal parking available to working parents dropping children for school, putting everyone at risk. | | ✓ | Parking will be reduced, but is still available. If they need to cross the road, the island will reduce the dangers. | No |
| S A | 10/10/13 | The loss of parking outside their property is a real concern, and also think the proposal will increase the danger to children. | | ✓ | The proposal will increase child safety, not reduce it. Also, no resident has a right to park directly outside there property, so that point is not relevant. | No |
| C W | 09/10/13 | The scheme will increase the traffic on Darlington Road, will confuse motorist, and has been positioned in the wrong place. | | ✓ | The refuge is located in the best position possible for the situation. The situation on Darlington Road will be monitored. | No |